

Evaluating *Francisella tularensis* translation *in vitro*

Benjamin Moore

Advisor: Kathryn M. Ramsey

Thesis Proposal

17 July, 2024

A. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Francisella tularensis is a Gram-negative, facultative, intracellular bacterium which causes the disease tularemia. Previous research has demonstrated that *F. tularensis* ribosomes are heterogenous and that a specific ribosomal protein, bS21-2, regulates translation. To study regulation of translation by ribosomes in *Francisella tularensis*, we will use an *in vitro* system. We aim to develop a reporter construct for use in an *in vitro* translation assay that is sensitive and easy to modify and to reproducibly purify active ribosomes from *F. tularensis* for use in this assay.

B. JUSTIFICATION FOR THE STUDY

Francisella tularensis is a Gram-negative, facultative, intracellular bacterium. *F. tularensis* causes the disease tularemia, which can be transmitted by rabbits, small rodents, or arthropods (Sjöstedt, 2007). This disease manifests at the onset with flu-like symptoms and can develop into several forms, of which the ulceroglandular form is the most common and the pneumonic form can be fatal (Degabriel et al., 2023). Because a relatively small exposure to the bacterium can cause an infection and it can be easily dispersed through the air, it has been classified as a potential bioweapon (Oyston et al., 2004). The Ramsey lab uses *F. tularensis* subspecies *holarctica*, specifically the live vaccine strain (LVS), as a model organism, because it is not infectious to humans but retains its virulence in animal models. The Ramsey lab is studying post-transcriptional regulation of virulence genes in *F. tularensis*, specifically how changes in ribosome composition impact virulence gene expression.

Key virulence genes in *F. tularensis* are located within the Francisella Pathogenicity Island (FPI), a region of the genome which codes for the type 6 secretion system (T6SS). The T6SS is a protein complex necessary for bacterial intracellular survival and growth within host cells. This cluster of genes is tightly regulated at the level of transcription. Specifically, transcription increases during the stringent stress response when the alarmone (p)ppGpp binds to transcription factors MglA and SspA associated with RNA polymerase and recruits another transcription factor, PigR. This increases transcription from promoters that contain short motifs called PigR response elements (PREs), including those found on the FPI (Degabriel et al., 2023). Recent work has demonstrated that expression of FPI virulence genes is further controlled by regulating translation of the mRNA into proteins by a specific ribosomal protein, bS21-2 (Trautmann & Ramsey, 2022).

Most bacteria encode one bS21 homolog or none at all. In the ribosome, bS21 is located in the small subunit near the anti-Shine Dalgarno sequence and the channel where mRNA exits the ribosome. Its location suggests that bS21 may interact directly with the 5' untranslated region (5' UTR) of mRNA molecules during the initiation phase of translation. In fact, several studies have implicated bS21 in translation initiation in *E. coli* ribosomes (van Duin & Wijnands, 1981; Chang & Craven, 1977).

F. tularensis is unusual in that it has three homologs of bS21. The Ramsey lab found that *F. tularensis* ribosomes can incorporate any one of the three bS21 homologs and that the second homolog, bS21-2, is the most abundant when cells are grown in the laboratory (Trautmann & Ramsey, 2022). Additionally, in the absence of bS21-2, the abundance of certain proteins, including T6SS proteins, decreased, yet mRNA abundance remained the same

(Trautmann & Ramsey, 2022). This suggests that, when bS21-2 is absent, ribosomes are not able to translate these genes as efficiently. We also found that the ability of cells to replicate within macrophage decreased when bS21-2 was absent (Trautmann & Ramsey, 2022). These data lead to the development of a model in which bS21-2 allows for more efficient translation of virulence genes.

In support of a model in which bS21-2 controls translation initiation, it was found that the presence of bS21-2 can affect the translation of mRNAs with specific 5' UTR sequences and that altering the 5' UTR sequences can lead to a loss of this regulatory effect (Trautmann et al., 2023). In particular, the Ramsey lab studied these effects using an *in vivo* system, using a reporter assay to examine how the presence of bS21-2 altered translation of particular mRNAs in *F. tularensis* cells. These reporters consisted of a control promoter, *tul4*, driving expression of an mRNA containing the 5' UTR of a control gene or gene of interest fused to green fluorescent protein (GFP). Translation efficiency for each mRNA was measured by how much of the reporter protein was produced. The 5' UTRs tested in this reporter assay were selected from a proteomics analysis in which the absence of bS21-2 led to a downregulation in protein production of the selected genes (Trautmann & Ramsey, 2022). These genes included the FPI genes *pdpA* and *iglA*. When bS21-2 was absent, reporter output decreased for the selected genes but did not decrease for *tul4* (Figure 1; Trautmann & Ramsey, 2022). Since changes in reporter output correlate with the amount of protein in cells with and without bS21-2, these data indicate that the 5' UTR is sufficient to influence translation *in vivo*. Additionally, because 5' UTRs are generally important for initiation, these results strongly suggest that bS21-2 is regulating translation during initiation.




Figure 1. 5' UTRs are sufficient to lead to bS21-2-mediated changes in translation. Relative fluorescence for indicated GFP translational fusion reporters in cells with (+; WT) or without (-; $\Delta rpsU2$) bS21-2. The *tul4* 5' UTR serves as a control. Figure and legend are from Trautmann et al., 2023.

After determining that the 5' UTR is sufficient to allow bS21-2-mediated changes in translation, the Ramsey lab further investigated 5' UTR sequences to identify specific elements which lead to regulation. In most bacterial 5' UTRs, a particular sequence called the Shine-Dalgarno (SD) pairs with an anti-Shine-Dalgarno (aSD) sequence in the 16S rRNA of the 30S ribosomal subunit. This pairing is not strictly necessary for translation initiation but increases its efficiency. Structures of *E. coli* ribosomes reveal that bS21 likely directly contacts the aSD sequence (Kaledhonkar et al., 2019), implicating the SD-aSD pairing as a potential candidate for regulation by bS21-2 in *F. tularensis*. Upon testing translation efficiency of mRNAs with different SD sequences, the Ramsey lab found that 5' UTRs with ideal SDs lost responsiveness to bS21-2 (Figure 2A, Trautmann et al., 2023), suggesting that bS21-2 interacts with the 5' UTR in certain ways, but that the presence of a perfect SD can override these effects so that they are

not as significant. In other genes, however, imperfect SD sequences do not automatically lead to regulation, so the search for other regulatory elements continues.




Figure 2. bS21-2-mediated translation of *mraY* depends on a weak Shine-Dalgarno and a specific six nucleotide sequence. Charts show relative fluorescence for indicated *gfp* translation fusion reporters in cells with (+; WT) or without (–; $\Delta rpsU2$) bS21-2. (A) Introduction of an ideal SD in the *mraY* leader leads to loss of bS21-2 responsiveness. (B) The nucleotides between –58 and –63 in the *mraY* 5' UTR, GACUCU, are essential for responsiveness to bS21-2. (A–B) Lines above bars indicate comparisons, values above line indicate ratio of reporter activity in cells lacking bS21-2 to wild-type cells. Error bars represent 1 SD. *P < 0.05 by t-test. ns = not significant. Experiments were repeated at least twice in biological triplicate, and data from a representative experiment are shown. Figure and legend are from Trautmann et al., 2023.

To find elements necessary for regulation by bS21-2, the Ramsey lab investigated the 5' UTR of *mraY*. We tested the contribution of two motifs (AAAAUAAA and UUAUUUA) that are enriched in the 5' UTR of genes regulated by bS21-2 as well as the predicted secondary structure of 5' UTRs, but these did not contribute to regulation by bS21-2. Through further testing and modification of the *mraY* 5' UTR, we identified a 6-nucleotide motif in the *mraY* 5' UTR GACUCU (Figure 2B, Trautmann et al., 2023) that is necessary for regulation by bS21-2.

These studies have allowed us to make significant progress in understanding how bS21-2 controls translation of mRNAs with specific 5' UTRs, but we would like to go further and address several other questions. In particular, we would like to determine if these results can be recapitulated *in vitro*. Once a working *in vitro* system has been established, we can also test whether the GACUCU motif is sufficient for regulation by bS21-2 and whether there are other sequence motifs that confer regulation. By developing an *in vitro* assay that allows us to examine translation efficiency, we can build upon previous experimental data and develop a more comprehensive model of regulation by bS21-2.

The goal of my thesis project is to develop an *in vitro* translation assay for *F. tularensis*. In developing this assay, I will use the PURExpress® Δ Ribosome Kit from New England Biolabs which contains all the factors necessary for transcription and translation except ribosomes and permits the user to translate any protein as a reporter. When the kit components are combined with a DNA template and purified ribosomes, transcription and translation of a DNA-encoded reporter will occur, resulting in a signal that can be measured to assess translation efficiency. To use this kit for our studies, we will need to clone a plasmid template and purify active ribosomes from *F. tularensis*.

Preliminary work towards developing an *in vitro* translation assay performed by a previous graduate student used *F. tularensis* ribosomes and the *tul4* 5' UTR – GFP reporter. While the reaction with *E. coli* ribosomes (provided by the kit) yielded signal in the assay, showing the reaction was properly set up, the ribosomes purified from *F. tularensis* did not translate detectable amounts of product (Figure 3). These results suggested to us that we

should try to find a more sensitive reporter and that we should ensure that the ribosomes we are purifying are sufficiently active.




Figure 3. Ribosomes purified from *F. tularensis* exhibited poor activity in initial *in vitro* assays. *In vitro* translation assays were performed using a DNA template specifying an mRNA with the *tul4* 5' UTR fused to the GFP gene (pKR107). Reactions contained either control ribosome from the kit (from *E. coli*), ribosomes purified from *F. tularensis* LVS, or no ribosomes (as indicated at the top of the image). No fluorescence was detected from the reaction containing *F. tularensis* ribosomes, so samples were analyzed by immunoblot using an antibody to GFP. The positive control lane contains cell lysate from *F. tularensis* expressing GFP.

C. AIMS

Specific Aim #1: Develop a reporter construct for use in an *in vitro* translation assay that is sensitive and easy to modify.

To address my first aim, I have assessed multiple reporters to see which provides the highest signal. These reporters include the fluorescent proteins GFP, LanYFP, and iLov, and the luciferase protein nLuc. To do this, I cloned the genes encoding these reporters into an existing plasmid that allowed for transcription by the *in vitro* translation kit. After measuring translation efficiency using these reporter constructs, I chose nLuc because of its broad dynamic range and high signal strength in comparison to the other reporters (Figure 4).




Figure 4. Comparison of reporters demonstrates that nLuc is the most sensitive reporter tested. (A)

Comparison of signal from fluorescent reporters detected in cells. Chart shows relative fluorescence for indicated translation fusion reporters (LanYFP, iLov, and GFP). (B) Comparison of LanYFP fluorescence and nLuc luminescence. Reporter signal strength is displayed in relative fluorescence units or relative luminescence units for indicated translation fusion reporters (LanYFP and nLuc) produced from *in vitro* assays using *E. coli* or LVS ribosomes respectively (note log scale). *In vitro* translation assays were performed using the pKR204 reporter template, which specifies a *pdpA* 5' UTR – nLuc reporter fusion and a *tul4* 5' UTR – LanYFP reporter fusion.

To easily switch out UTR sequences in front of the nLuc coding sequence using standard cloning techniques, I modified an existing plasmid containing nLuc fused to the 5' UTR for *pdpA*. This has provided us with a reporter construct that is sensitive and easy to modify for use in our *in vitro* translation assay.

Specific Aim #2: Reproducibly purify active ribosomes from *E. coli* and *F. tularensis*.

To isolate active ribosomes, I have been using the sucrose cushion purification method. I first harvested ribosomes from *E. coli* cells grown in LB media and was able to achieve a yield of 1.5-5 nmol with a concentration of 10-30 pmol/μL, which is substantially higher than the 2.7 pmol/μL concentration required for the *in vitro* translation kit. Next, I demonstrated that the *E. coli* ribosomes were active using the *in vitro* assay and replicated these results using ribosomes

from multiple purification attempts, showing that purification of *E. coli* ribosomes using the sucrose cushion method is reproducible (Figure 5).




Figure 5. Relative luminescence values for nLuc after translation by purified *E. coli* ribosomes. Results of *in vitro* translation assays using the *pdpA* 5' UTR-*nLuc* reporter (pKR144) showing signal strength for multiple *E. coli* (Ec) ribosome purifications tested on different days. Ribosomes were purified using the sucrose cushion method. Kit ribosomes are also from *E. coli* and were supplied with the NEB assay kit.

After validating the sucrose cushion method using *E. coli* ribosomes, I purified ribosomes harvested from *F. tularensis* LVS cells grown in brain-heart infusion (BHI) media. I tested reproducibility by measuring nLuc luminescence signal from the same sample of sucrose cushion purified ribosomes on different days and signals from different sucrose cushion purifications on the same day (Figure 6). I observed reproducible translation with *E. coli* ribosomes, but a significant degree of variability in translation using *F. tularensis* ribosomes, including replicate to replicate variability.




Figure 6. Assessing translation by ribosomes from *E. coli* and *F. tularensis*. Results of an *in vitro* translation assay of the *tul4* 5' UTR-*nLuc* reporter (pKR214) by indicated ribosomes. Letters indicate biological replicate purifications of ribosomes, each circle represents a technical replicate.

Given the variability in translation by ribosomes isolated from *F. tularensis*, I took several approaches. First, I made changes to the *in vitro* assay protocol to standardize experiments. I also analyzed ribosome samples on sucrose gradients, revealing that purified *E. coli* ribosomes exhibit prominent 70S peaks along with additional peaks that we suspect correspond to polysomes (Figure 7). When analyzing *F. tularensis* ribosomes, we found distinct 70S peaks as well as peaks that might correspond to 50S subunits and 100S ribosome dimers. These 100S dimers form when two 70S ribosomes interact with hibernation factors and undergo conformational changes and are usually accompanied by downregulation of translation (Prossliner et al., 2018). Since it appears that we are isolating both 70S and 100S ribosome particles together, we expect that there is a subset of purified ribosomes within each sample that are inactive, potentially leading to the observed variability. My current experiments focus on isolating homogenous 70S ribosomes to further ensure consistency between *in vitro* assays. This will provide us with active ribosomes from *F. tularensis* for use in our *in vitro* translation assay.




Figure 7. Sucrose gradient sedimentation profiles of purified ribosomes from indicated species. Sucrose gradient profiles of either *E. coli* (green) or *F. tularensis* LVS (blue) ribosomes. Ribosomes were purified using the sucrose cushion method. *E. coli* ribosomes were resuspended in buffer with 10 mM Mg^{2+} . *F. tularensis* ribosomes were resuspended in buffer with 0.3 mM Mg^{2+} and then incubated in 10 mM Mg^{2+} overnight.

D. METHODOLOGY AND PROCEDURES

Bacterial strains and growth conditions.

The strain of *Francisella tularensis* used in these experiments is *F. tularensis* subsp. *holarctica* live vaccine strain (LVS), which is not infectious to humans, but retains its virulence in animal models. Bacteria are cultured in brain-heart infusion (BHI) media supplemented with L-cysteine hydrochloride, β -NAD, heme-histidine, and 20% glucose (sBHIc) or on plates with cysteine-heart agar supplemented with 1% hemoglobin at 37°C. The MRE600 strain of *E. coli* used for ribosome purifications is cultured in LB liquid media or on plates with LB agar at 37°C. Strains with incorporated plasmids are selected for on kanamycin media at 5 μ g/mL for LVS or at 50 μ g/mL for *E. coli*.

Plasmid design and construction.

PCR primers were designed using SnapGene (GSL Biotech LLC) and ordered from ThermoFisher. Primers were used to amplify DNA fragments to be cloned into the intended plasmid, and the resulting DNA fragments were purified by washing and eluting through a spin column (QIAquick

Purification Kit). The plasmid backbone and PCR fragments were digested using restriction endonucleases and run on a gel. Desired products were excised and purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit. Ligations were conducted with T4 DNA ligase and the products were transformed into competent *E. coli* cells using heat shock. Resulting colonies were used to grow cultures, and the plasmid DNA was isolated using a QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit and sequenced via Sanger sequencing at URI's INBRE CORE Facility.

70S ribosome purification.

For *E. coli*, MRE600 cells were grown in 500 mL LB media to mid-log phase ($OD_{600} = 0.6-0.8$), and for *F. tularensis*, wild-type LVS cells were grown in 500 mL sBHIc media to mid-log phase ($OD_{600} = 0.5$). Cells were chilled on ice for 20 min, centrifuged at 15,316 $\times g$ for 5 min at 4°C, then washed once with buffer $H^{10}M^{10}A^{50}$ (10 mM HEPES KOH pH 7.6, 10 mM $MgCl_2$, and 50 mM NH_4Cl), and centrifuged at 14,635 $\times g$ for 15 min at 4°C, and the resulting pellets were stored at -80°C. For ribosome purification, cell pellets were resuspended in 15 mL of $H^{10}M^{10}A^{50}$ with 20 U DNase I. Cells were either lysed by passing through a French press once at 800 lb/in² or by using Bug Buster detergent and incubating at 37°C for 1 h. Cell debris were removed by centrifugation at 146,000 $\times g$ for 15 min at 4°C. Supernatant was layered on top of $H^{10}M^{10}A^{500}$ + 20% sucrose (10 mM HEPES KOH pH 7.6, 10 mM $MgCl_2$, 500 mM NH_4Cl , 20% sucrose) and ribosomes were pelleted by ultracentrifugation in a 50.2 Ti rotor for 4 h at 146,000 $\times g$ at 4°C. The pellet was washed twice with $H^{10}M^{10}A^{50}$ and gently resuspended in $H^{10}M^{10}A^{50}$. This suspension was then layered onto another sucrose cushion ($H^{10}M^{10}A^{50}$ with 40% sucrose) and centrifuged for 14 h at 146,000 $\times g$ at 4°C to further purify the ribosomes. Purified ribosomes were gently resuspended in ~100 μL of $H^{10}M^{10}A^{50}$ and stored at -80°C.

Sucrose gradient sedimentation.

A light solution of $H^{10}M^{10}A^{50}$ buffer + 10% sucrose was layered on top of a heavy solution of $H^{10}M^{10}A^{50}$ buffer + 50% sucrose in polycarbonate gradient tubes, and the gradients were mixed in a BioComp Instruments 153 Gradient Station (BioComp). After refrigeration for 45 min the gradients were balanced, and 200 μ L of sample was layered on top. The tubes were centrifuged in a Beckman-Coulter SW40 Ti rotor for 40,000 rpm for 4 h at 4°C and gradient fractions were collected using a Triax flow cell set to 260 nm. Thirty fractions were collected per run and stored at -80°C.

***In vitro* assays.**PureExpress Δ Ribosome Kit Protocol

Solution A and Factor Mix from the PureExpress Δ Ribosome Kit were thawed on ice. Ribosomes from sucrose cushion purification were diluted to 2.67 pmol/ μ L in $H^{10}M^{10}A^{50}$ buffer. Plasmid DNA was purified by phenol-chloroform extraction, and then diluted in 0.1xEB to a concentration of 125 ng/ μ L. Each reaction contained 10 μ L Solution A, 3 μ L Factor Mix, and 2 μ L template DNA and 15 μ L ribosomes. After mixing gently and spinning briefly in a microfuge, the reactions were incubated at 37°C for 2 h in a thermocycler. The reactions were stopped by placing the tubes on ice, and the samples were used for analysis or frozen at -20°C for later use.

Nano-Glo® Luciferase Assay

Nano-Glo® Luciferase Assay Buffer and Nano-Glo® Luciferase Assay Substrate were thawed on ice and mixed by pipetting. An appropriate volume of reconstituted reagent was prepared by combining one volume of substrate with 50 volumes of buffer and mixed by pipetting. *In vitro* assay reactions were thawed on ice. Next, 30 μL $\text{H}^{10}\text{M}^{10}\text{A}^{50}$ buffer was added to a “buffer and substrate” well in a white 96-well plate, and 60 μL $\text{H}^{10}\text{M}^{10}\text{A}^{50}$ buffer was added to a “buffer only” well. Then, 30 μL reaction volumes were added to appropriate wells. Finally, 30 μL of reconstituted reagent was added to the substrate reaction wells and to the “buffer and substrate” well. After a 3 min incubation period, the plate was read on the appropriate settings.

E. RESOURCES REQUIRED

Resources required for the completion of this project will come from the laboratory of Dr. Kathryn Ramsey. Sequencing services will be provided by the URI Genomic Sequencing Center.

Literature Cited

Chang, C., & Craven, G. R. (1977). Identification of several proteins involved in the messenger RNA binding site of the 30 S ribosome by inactivation with 2-methoxy-5-nitrotrypone. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 117(2), 401–418. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836\(77\)90135-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(77)90135-8)

Degabriel, Manon et al. “Pathogenicity and virulence of *Francisella tularensis*.” *Virulence* vol. 14,1 (2023): 2274638. doi:10.1080/21505594.2023.2274638

Dennis D, Inglesby T, Henderson D, et al. Tularemia as a biological weapon. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 2001; 285: 2763-2773.

Kaledhonkar, S., Fu, Z., Caban, K., Li, W., Chen, B., Sun, M., Gonzalez, R. L., Jr, & Frank, J. (2019). Late steps in bacterial translation initiation visualized using time-resolved cryo-EM. *Nature*, 570(7761), 400–404. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1249-5>

Oyston CFP, Sjöstedt A, Titball RW. Tulareamia: bioterrorism defense renews interest in *Francisella tularensis*. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. 2004; 2:967-978.

Prossliner T, Skovbo Winther K, Sørensen MA, Gerdes K. Ribosome Hibernation. *Annu Rev Genet*. 2018 Nov 23;52:321-348. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genet-120215-035130. PMID: 30476446.

Sjöstedt A. Tularemia: history, epidemiology, pathogen physiology, and clinical manifestations. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2007; 1105:1–29.

Trautmann, H., & Ramsey, K. (2022). A Ribosomal Protein Homolog Governs Gene Expression and Virulence in a Bacterial Pathogen. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 204(10), e00268-22. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.00268-22>

- Trautmann, H. S., Schmidt, S. S., Gregory, S. T., & Ramsey, K. M. (2023). Ribosome heterogeneity results in leader sequence-mediated regulation of protein synthesis in *Francisella tularensis*. *Journal of bacteriology*, 205(9), e0014023. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.00140-23>
- Van Duin, J., & Robert, W. (1981). The Function of Ribosomal Protein S21 in Protein Synthesis. *European Journal of Biochemistry*, 118(3), 615–619. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1981.tb05563.x>
- Watson, Z. L., Ward, F. R., Méheust, R., Ad, O., Schepartz, A., Banfield, J. F., & Cate, J. H. (2020). Structure of the bacterial ribosome at 2 Å resolution. *ELife*, 9, e60482. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.60482>